

#### INDIA BANK PENSIONERS' & RETIREES' CONFEDERA

#### (A.I.B.P.A.R.C.)

Office of GS at: 4, Netaji Subhas Road, 1st Floor, Cubicle no: 170 KOL .- 700 001

Mobile: 9674188524, E-mail: aibparc2@gmail.com

Regd. Office: MAYUR VIHAR, PHASE - 1 **57 A, POCKET 3, DELHI 110091** 

Senior Office Bearers PRESIDENT: SHRI K.V. ACHARYA. MOB - 9868220338

GENERAL SECRETARY SHRI S. SARKAR MOB - 9674188524

WORKING PRESIDENTS:

1.SHRI C. GANGADHAR YADAV. MOB - 9440528806 2. SHRI P.S. PATKI MOB - 8805607239 3. SHRI S.B.C. KARUNAKARAN, MOB - 9444772016 4 SHRI A.N. KRISHNAMURTHY. MOB NO. 9845697198 5. SHRI S. KUPPUSWAMI MOB- 9444315928 6. SHRI S.C. AHUJA MOB- 9650068773

SR. VICE PRESIDENTS: 1.SHRI M.R. GOPINATH RAO MOB – 9886309244 2.SHRI R.S. TRIVEDI MOB – 9825049640 3.SHRI R.M. JOSHI, MOB NO. 9820127193 4. SHRI R. K. SHARMA MOB – 8171461116 5.SHRI RAM PAL MOB – 9784405801 6.SHRI K.S. RENGARAJAN MOB NO. 9941304028 7. SHRI PAUL MUNDADAN MOB- 9895378557 8. SHRI PREM KUMAR MALHOTRA MOB NO. 9425156535 SHRI N.K. PAREEK MOB NO. 9829015773 10.SHRI S. NAGARAJ MOB NO. 9986644118 11. SHRI PRAKASH KAROTYA. MOB NO. 9818821395 12. SHRI DAU DAYAL MAHESHWARI

TREASURER : SHRI TARUNESH CHATTERJEE. MOB NO. 9051601591

MOB -9958739618

Circular no: 115-25

Date: December 10, 2025 For circulation among members of the Governing Council, State Secretaries, Special Invitees, Advisors, Affiliates, Constituents of CBPRO and Members.

Dear Comrade,

Sub: Income Tax on Leave encashment – exemption limit of Rs.25 Lakhs from Rs.3 Lakhs – applicable for those who retired after 01.01.2016.

We have been receiving queries from members about the retrospective effect to the enhancement in IT exemption to Leave encashment to Rs 25 lakhs vide CBDT Notification No.31/2023/P.No. 200/3/ 2023-ITA-1 dated 24/5/2023.

In this regard please find enclosed the order of appellate tribunal (ITAT) of Bench Jaipur. Similar orders have been passed by more ITATs interpreting the retrospective effect from 1.1.2016 to the tax exemption ceiling of Rs 25 lakhs under section 10(10AA) of Income tax Act. In the light of the above, members are raising queries about submission of the revised return to claim refund along with application to condone delay in submission of such return. We have been advising that the retirees eligible for this exemption effective from 1/1/2016 may do so by taking the assistance of a tax professional.

As far as our information goes, there have been at least 22 such cases where the Income Tax Appellate (ITAT) have decided in favour of the employees regarding Exemption on Leave Encashment Payment at the time of Retirement whether on Superannuation or Otherwise purely based on the merits as per the Income Tax act, 1961 Section 10(10AA). It is decided that the Notification that of Rs. 25 lakhs dated 24.05.2023 is applicable with retrospective effect i.e. 01.01.2016.

We are quoting only one such case for ready reference.

Name of the Appellant	Name of the	Assessment	ITAT Bench	Date of order
and ITA no	Employer	year		
Mr.Devi Dutt Agrawal	State Bank	2020-21	Jaipur	13/03/2025
ITA No. 1375/JPR/2024	of India			

Best wishes and regards, Comradely yours,

K.V.Acharya. President.

& Joint Convenor, CBPRO Enclo: As Stated (PDF file)

Suprita Sarkar General Secretary

### IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL JAIPUR BENCH "SMC", JAIPUR

# BEFORE SHRI GAGAN GOYAL, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER AND SHRI NARINDER KUMAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER ITA No. 1375(A.Y. 2020-21)/JPR/2024

#### Devi Dutt Agarwal,

E-232, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor East of Kailash, New Delhi, Delhi 110065

PAN No. AGWPA6965A ...... Appellant

VS.

#### **Assessment Unit,**

Jaipur .....Respondent

Appellant by : Mr. Vikash Rajvanshi, CA

Respondent by : Mr. Gautam Singh Choudhary, JCIT,

Ld. DR

Date of hearing : 24/02/2025 Date of pronouncement : 13/03/2025

#### ORDER

#### **PER GAGAN GOYAL, A.M:**

This appeal by assessee is directed against the order of NFAC, Delhi dated 01.11.2023 passed u/s. 250 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (in short 'the Act'). The assessee has raised the following grounds of appeal: -

1. Ld. CIT appeal did not consider notification no. 31/2023 for enhancement of leave encashment limit from 3 Lakhs to 25 Lakhs u/s. 10(10AA) of the Act and

relevant decision of Honourable Delhi High Court and wrongly sustain Ld. AO order of allowing deduction u/s 10(10AA) for leave encashment of Rs. 3,00,000/- instead of Rs. 12,13,200/-. Hence, full claim of Rs. 12,13,200/- must be allowed as within the limits prescribed.

- 2. The Assessee craves the right to add, delete, amend or abandon any of the grounds of this appeal at the time or before the actual hearing of the case.
- The brief facts of the case are that the assessee individual filed his return of income at Rs. 20,94,750/- on 17.12.2020 and thereafter a revised return also on 05.05.2021 declaring total income at Rs. 11,81,550/-. The case of the assessee was selected for complete scrutiny under CASS for the following reasons:
  - 1. Salary income shown under TDS schedule of ITR is higher than the salary income shown under Part-B;
  - 2. Reduction of income in revised return and claim of refund and
  - 3. Salary income shown as per the ITR is less than the salary income as per Form No. 26AS.

In the original return, the assessee claimed Leave Encashment of Rs. 3 Lacs u/s. 10(10AA) of the Act. But in the revised return of income, he claimed the full amount received as leave encashment amounting to Rs. 12,13,200/- u/s. 10(10AA) of the Act. The AO disallowed the claim of the assessee and Rs. 9,13,200/- (Rs. 12,13,200/- minus 3,00,000/-) being difference in the original claim and revised claim added back to the income of the assessee. The assessee being aggrieved with this order preferred an appeal before the Ld. CIT (A), who in turn confirmed the order of the AO and dismissed the appeal of the assessee.

The assessee being further aggrieved with the same preferred the present appeal before us. It is observed that the appeal filed before us is time barred by 326 Days. In response to the same the assessee filed an application for

condonation of delay along with an affidavit. The assessee is a 66 years old person and has severe eye vision trauma since last year. Due to this eye problem, the assessee was not able to view TV, computer and even mobile. Hence, can't check his e-mails. The assessee has under gone two eye operation in March 2024 and May 2024. The assessee came to know about the Ld. CIT(A)'s order only on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2024, when he received a notice from the department under the DTVSV. Thereafter, the assessee checked his portal and downloaded the Ld. CIT(A)'s order and filed the present appeal before us. We found the explanation filed by the assessee as reasonable, hence delay in filing of this return is condoned.

The only issue for our consideration is whether the assessee is entitled to claim the deduction at Rs. 12,13,200/- or at a figure of Rs. 3 Lacs as allowed by the AO, for better understanding, we are reproducing herein below the relevant provisions of the section 10(10AA) of the Act as under:

10AA) (i) any payment received by an employee of the Central Government or a State Government as the cash equivalent of the leave salary in respect of the period of earned leave at his credit at the time of his retirement whether on superannuation or otherwise;

(ii) any payment of the nature referred to in sub-clause (i) received by an employee, other than an employee of the Central Government or a State Government, in respect of so much of the period of earned leave at his credit at the time of his retirementwhether on superannuation or otherwise as does not exceed ten months, calculated on the basis of the average salary drawn by the employee during the period of ten months immediately preceding his retirement whether on superannuation or otherwise, subject to such limit as the Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, specify in this behalf having regard to the limit applicable in this behalf to the employees of that Government:

**Provided** that where any such payments are received by an employee from more than one employer in the same previous year, the aggregate amount exempt from income-

tax under this sub-clause shall not exceed the limit so specified:
<b>Provided further</b> that where any such payment or payments was or were received in any one or more earlier previous years also and the whole or any part of the amount of such payment or payments was or were not included in the total income of the assessee of such previous year or years, the amount exempt from income-tax under this subclause shall not exceed the limit so specified], as reduced by the amount or, as the case may be, the aggregate amount not included in the total income of any such previous year or years.
Explanation. —For the purposes of sub-clause (ii), —
the entitlement to earned leave of an employee shall not exceed thirty days for every year of actual service rendered by him as an employee of the employer from whose service he has retired;

In the light of the provisions of sub-clause (ii) and the latest notification on this subject as reproduced herein below as under:

NOTIFICATION S.O. 2276(E) [NO. 31/2023/F. NO. 200/3/2023-ITA...

## SECTION 10(10AA) OF THE INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961 - EXEMPTIONS - ENHANCEMENT OF EXEMPTION LIMIT OF LEAVE ENCASHMENT

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (*ii*) of clause (*10AA*) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government, having regard to the maximum amount receivable by its employees as cash equivalent of leave salary in respect of the period of earned leave at their credit at the time of their retirement, whether superannuation or otherwise, hereby specifies the amount of Rs. 25,00,000 (twenty-five lakhs' rupees only) as the limit in relation to employees mentioned in that sub-clause who retire, whether on superannuation or otherwise.

5

2. This notification shall be deemed to have come into force with effect from the 1st day of

April, 2023.

In addition to the above we have considered the judicial pronouncement by the

Hon'ble Delhi High Court in the case of Kamal Kumar Kalia & Ors. Vs. Union of

India and coordinate bench in the case of Ram Charan Gupta vs. ITO, Ward 4(2),

Jaipur, ITA No. 408/JPR/2022, Dated: 27.06.2023 and Govind Chhatwani, Jaipur

vs. CIT, ITA No. 385/JPR/2023 on 31.10.2023.

6. In view of the above, there is a firm view has already been taken by the

coordinate bench respectfully considering the decision of Kamal Kumar Kalia &

Ors. Vs. Union of India, for sake of consistency and view of the Hon'ble Delhi High

Court (supra), The grounds raised by the assessee are allowed and the AO is

directed to accept the claim of the assessee as claimed in his revised return

amounting to Rs. 12,13,200/- u/s. 10(10AA) of the Act.

7. In the result the appeal of the assessee is allowed.

Order is pronounced in the open court on the 13<sup>th</sup> Day of March 2025.

Sd/- Sd/-

(NARINDER KUMAR)
JUDICIAL MEMBER

(GAGAN GOYAL)
ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

Jaipur, दिनांक/Dated: /03/2025

**Copy of the Order forwarded to:** 

1. अपीलार्थी/The Appellant ,

प्रतिवादी/ The Respondent.

3. आयकर आयुक्त CIT

- 4. विभागीय प्रतिनिधि, आय.अपी.अधि., Sr.DR., ITAT,
- 5. गार्ड फाइल/Guard file.

BY ORDER,

//True Copy//

(Asstt.Registrar)

ITAT, Jaipur

	Details	Date	Initials	Designation
1	Draft dictated on PC on	13.03.2025		Sr.PS/PS
2	Draft Placed before author	13.03.2025		Sr.PS/PS
3	Draft proposed & placed before the Second			JM/AM
	Member			
4	Draft discussed/approved by Second Member			JM/AM
5.	Approved Draft comes to the Sr.PS/PS			Sr.PS/PS
6.	Kept for pronouncement on			Sr.PS/PS
7.	File sent to the Bench Clerk			Sr.PS/PS
8	Date on which the file goes to the Head clerk			
9	Date of Dispatch of order			